

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/660,958		WHEATLEY, HARLEN	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jyoti Chawla		1761	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jyoti Chawla.

(2) Cliff C Liu.

**Date of Interview:** 17 November 2006

**Status of Application:** Abandoned

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claims discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Prior art documents discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**


*A telephone call was placed concerning the lack of action in regards to the application and was informed by applicant's representative that the applicant did not wish to file a response in the current application.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)